

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 062438  
ORIGIN EB-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY EB/ITP/EWT/WAROOT:TLW  
APPROVED BY EB/ITP/EWT/WAROOT  
-----220539Z 030665 /21

R 212137Z MAR 77  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 062438

EXCON

FOL RPT OECD PARIS 07754 ACTION SECSTATE INFO BRUSSELS  
16 MAR 77 QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L OECD PARIS 07754

EXCON

E.O. 11652: XGDS1  
TAGS: ESTC, COCOM  
SUBJ: BELGIAN DEL TO PROPOSE NEW COCOM EMBARGO CRITERIA

1. BELGIAN DEL, WILLY STEVENS, ANNOUNCED AT COCOM MEETING 3/15 HIS DELEGATION'S INTENTION TO SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM TO THE COMMITTEE WITHIN TWO WEEKS PROPOSING A NEW COCOM CRITERION WHICH WOULD PROVIDE FOR AUTOMATIC FREEING FROM EMBARGO OF OBSOLESCEMENT EQUIPMENT. PROPOSAL WOULD PROVIDE THAT EQUIPMENT EMBARGOED UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL LIST WOULD AUTOMATICALLY BE FREED FROM EMBARGO 5 YEARS AFTER EQUIPMENT HAD BEEN COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE IN A COCOM MEMBER COUNTRY UNLESS THE COMMITTEE UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO THE RETENTION OF EMBARGO COVERAGE.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 062438

CRITERIA WOULD NOT APPLY TO ATOMIC ENERGY OR MUNITIONS LIST.

2. STEVENS STATED THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WERE DISTURBED BY WHAT THEY PERCEIVED AS AN EXPANDING EMBARGO LIST AND A GROWING CONGESTION IN COCOM OF EXCEPTION REQUESTS. THE EMBARGO, HE SAID, PRESENTLY COVERED NUMEROUS ITEMS

OF EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY WHICH WERE NO LONGER OF ANY REAL STRATEGIC CONCERN. ONCE EQUIPMENT WAS PUT UNDER EMBARGO, HE SAID, IT WAS EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO GET IT REMOVED DESPITE THE FACT THAT IT HAD BECOME OBSOLESCE. HIS AUTHORITIES BELIEVED THAT AFTER AN ITEM HAD BEEN AVAILABLE COMMERCIALY FOR FIVE YEARS, THERE WAS A GROWING PRESUMPTION THAT IT WAS OBSOLESCE AND THAT THE COMMITTEE SHOULD THEN BE CALLED UPON UNANIMOUSLY TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE ITEM STILL MERITED EMBARGO COVERAGE. HIS AUTHORITIES WERE NOT WEDDED TO THE FIVE YEAR PERIOD, HE SAID, BUT THEY WERE CONVINCED OF THE NEED FOR AUTOMATIC REMOVAL FROM EMBARGO COVERAGE.

3. STEVENS ASKED THAT THE COMMITTEE SCHEDULE DISCUSSION OF HIS PROPOSAL PRIOR TO HOLDING DISCUSSIONS ON ORGANIZATION OF LIST REVIEWS ON GROUNDS THAT CHANGE IN COCOM CRITERIA WOULD AFFECT THE LIST REVIEW DISCUSSIONS. US DEL VIGOROUSLY OPPOSED THIS SUGGESTION STATING THAT CHANGES IN CRITERIA RAISED COMPLICATED POLITICAL QUESTIONS WHICH COULD NOT BE RESOLVED SOLELY WITHIN THE COMMITTEE OR WITHIN A RELATIVELY SHORT TIME FRAME. US DEL WAS SUPPORTED BY UK, JAPANESE AND CANADIAN DELS. DUTCH, NORWEGIAN AND FRENCH DELS SUPPORTED BELGIAN DEL. CHAIRMAN PROPOSED A COMPROMISE THAT BOTH BELGIAN PROPOSAL AND LIST REVIEW ORGANIZATION DISCUSSIONS BE SCHEDULED FOR SPECIAL SESSION MONDAY, MAY 16. IF DELEGATIONS WERE NOT PREPARED TO DISCUSS CRITERIA AT THAT TIME, HE SAID THEN DISCUSSION WOULD TURN DIRECTLY TO LIST REVIEW ORGANIZATION. IF ON THE OTHER HAND, DEBATE ON THE BELGIAN PROPOSAL DRAGGED ON, HE WOULD RECESS DEBATE THIS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 062438

ITEM AFTER TWO DAYS DISCUSSION AND THE COMMITTEE WOULD TURN TO LIST REVIEW TOPIC. DEBATE ON BELGIAN PROPOSAL WOULD BE RESUMED SUBSEQUENTLY. CHAIRMAN'S COMPROMISE WAS ADOPTED ALTHOUGH US DEL MAINTAINED THAT ISSUES RAISED WERE DISTINCTLY SEPARATE AND THAT COMMITTEE WAS ENDANGERING TIMELY DISCUSSION ON LIST REVIEW ORGANIZATION BY LINKING IT TO DISCUSSION OF BELGIAN PROPOSAL.

4. BELGIAN DEL TOLD US DEL PRIVATELY THAT HIS AUTHORITIES HAD DECIDED UPON THIS PROPOSAL AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO BELGIAN'S UNILATERALLY DECLARING THAT IT WOULD ACCEPT THE EMBARGO STATUS FOR CURRENTLY EMBARGOED ITEMS, AS WELL AS ANY ITEM THAT WOULD BECOME EMBARGOED, FOR A MAXIMUM OF 5 YEARS WITH THE OPTION OF AGREEING TO THE CONTINUED EMBARGO STATUS OF SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT IF IT FELT THAT EQUIPMENT WAS OF CONTINUED STRATEGIC CONCERN. HE SAID THAT IF BELGIAN CRITERIA PROPOSAL WERE NOT ACCEPTED HIS AUTHORITIES WOULD MAKE SUCH A UNILATERAL

DECLARATION.

5. COMMENT: US DEL BELIEVES THAT WHILE THERE IS LITTLE MERIT IN BELGIAN PROPOSAL, IT MAY SET THE STAGE FOR GENERAL DISCUSSION OF COCOM CRITERIA AND NATURE AND SCOPE OF COCOM EMBARGO. BELGIAN PROPOSAL ITSELF

MUST APPEAR UNWORKABLE AND UNACCEPTABLE TO MAJORITY OF COCOM DELS. UK DEL HAS ALREADY INDICATED TO US DEL THAT IT WOULD BE UNACCEPTABLE TO HIS AUTHORITIES. IDEA THAT ANY ONE DELEGATION COULD CAUSE ITEM TO BE REMOVED FROM EMBARGO LIST AFTER 5 OR SO YEAR PERIOD COULD ONLY BE VIEWED AS DRASTIC INVERSION OF CURRENT UN-ANIMITY PRACTICE AND AS DANGEROUS TO THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF THE EMBARGO ITSELF.

6. BELGIAN PROPOSAL SPEAKS IN TERMS OF SPECIFIC EQUIP-  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 062438

MENT WHILE EMBARGO IS STRUCTURED IN TERMS OF DEFINITIONS DESCRIBING EQUIPMENT IN GENERIC TERMS OR ACCORDING TO CHARACTERISTIC AND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS. TASK OF ACCUMULATING LIST OF SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT EMBARGOED AND DATES AT WHICH ITEMS OR MODELS BECAME COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY BE BEYOND RESOURCES OF COCOM AS NOW STRUCTURED.

7. CONCEPT THAT QUANTIFIES STRATEGIC OBSOLESCENCE IN TERMS OF YEARS OR COMMERCIAL AVAILABILITY IS ALSO UNTENABLE. ITEM'S STRATEGIC OBSOLESCENCE IS INDEPENDENT OF BOTH TIME AND COMMERCIAL AVAILABILITY FACTORS. INCLUDING SUCH A CONCEPT IN THE EMBARGO WOULD ONLY GIVE RISE TO FALSE PRESUMPTIONS AND MISPLACE THE BURDEN OF PROOF. NEVERTHELESS, US AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE PREPARED DISCUSS BELGIAN PROPOSAL ON 16 MAY DATE AND BE READY TO DEFEND PRESENT CONCEPT AND OPERATION OF COCOM EMBARGO. CONSIDERATION MIGHT BE GIVEN TO SENDING DELEGATION FROM WASHINGTON TO ATTEND THESE DISCUSSIONS, AND POSSIBLE TAKING THE MATTER UP BILATERALLY IN BRUSSELS AT AN APPROPRIATE TIME, PERHAPS TO DISSAUDE BELGIANS FROM SUBMITTING THIS PROPOSAL. US DEL WILL REPORT ON PROPOSAL ITSELF WHEN ACTUALLY RECEIVED AND ON REACTION OF OTHER DELEGATIONS BY SEPTELS.  
TURNER

UNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** POLICIES, ARMS, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, EXCEPTIONS LIST, EMBARGOES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 21-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977STATE062438  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** TLW  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770097-0318  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770338/aaaabguk.tel  
**Line Count:** 166  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** a59fd4b4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN EB  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 11-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 3043589  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** BELGIAN DEL TO PROPOSE NEW COCOM EMBARGO CRITERIA  
**TAGS:** ESTC, BE, COCOM  
**To:** LONDON  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/a59fd4b4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009